# Myth-busting concerns on preregistration



Gabriele Paolacci (RSM) Julia Rose (ESE) Antonia Krefeld-Schwalb (RSM)

**Erasmus University Rotterdam** 



# Agenda

Liz Guzman-Ramirez (ESE & RSM)	Introduction to the session
Antonia Krefeld-Schwalb (RSM)	Concern 1
	I need to look at my data before I can decide how to best analyse it
Julia Rose (ESE)	Concern 2
	Preregistration prevents exploratory research
Gabriele Paolacci (RSM)	Concern 3
	It might delay data collection

## On April 2021, an Open Science Survey was conducted to RSM & ESE researchers, topics where:

Preregistration Open materials/code Open data Pre-publication archiving Open access General experience with open science practices Awareness of available tools Role of ERIM



# Preregistration Importance for your field



### Your experience



# Which of the following open science practices would you like ERIM to provide information or support for?

response	percentage
Preregistration	50%
Open Materials and/or Code	18.42%
Open access publishing	14.47%
I don't know/prefer not to answer	6.58%
Pre-publication archiving	5.26%
Other	2.63%
Open Data	2.63%



#### Concerns about preregistration

# Agenda

Liz Guzman-Ramirez (ESE & RSM)	Introduction to the session
Antonia Krefeld-Schwalb (RSM)	Concern 1
	I need to look at my data before I can decide how to best analyse it
Julia Rose (ESE)	Concern 2
	Preregistration prevents exploratory research
Gabriele Paolacci (RSM)	Concern 3
	It might delay data collection

Concern 1

### I need to look at my data before I can decide how to best analyse it

Antonia Krefeld-Schwalb (RSM)





# 26%



Structuring the data to make it suitable for description and analysis can take a lot of time and effort



Some of the distributions in the data may be non-normal and require transformation for your analysis

#### How is it possible to know all that before data collection?



# Three (not mutually exclusive) Solutions

#### Simulation

Simulate the expected data, e.g. with <u>Simulation for Factorial</u>

Designs • faux )



Additional benefits:

- Statistical knowledge
- Coding skills
- Better informed analysis decisions

Simulate by Design Simulate Correlated Variables Simulate from Existing Data Continuous Predictors Plotting Mixed Design Simulation Randomised Reports Distribution Conversions Codebook Demo Contrasts

# Three (not mutually exclusive) Solutions

#### Simulation

Simulate the expected data, e.g. with <u>Simulation for Factorial</u>

<u>Designs • faux</u>)

Additional benefits:

- Statistical knowledge
- Coding skills
- Better informed analysis decisions

### **Existing Datasets**

Consider similar already existing datasets, e.g. your own data or data from relevant references

Additional benefits:



- Understand sources of noise
- Estimate amount of noise
- Identify the shape of the distributions
- Improves analysis decisions

# Three (not mutually exclusive) Solutions

#### Simulation

Simulate the expected data, e.g. with <u>Simulation for Factorial</u>

<u>Designs • faux</u>)

Additional benefits:

- Statistical knowledge
- Coding skills
- Better informed analysis decisions

#### **Existing Datasets**

Consider similar already existing datasets, e.g. your own data or data from relevant references

#### Additional benefits:

- Understand source OSF noise
- Estimate amount of noise
- Identify the shape of the distributions
- Improves analysis decisions

#### **Pilot Study**

Collect a very small sample before preregistration

#### Additional benefits:

- Understand structure of the data
- Determine pre-processing steps
- Ensure correct data recording
- Write analysis skript to be included in the preregistration

Concern 2

# Preregistration prevents exploratory research

# Julia Rose (ESE)







It will definitely prevent *some kinds* of exploratory research, yes!

It will definitely prevent *some kinds* of exploratory research, yes!

But let's look into some problematic cases:



Different methods and/or variables are used for different analyses, and the **most "significant" one** is then presented, and the decision on the methods finally included in the paper are ex-post rationalized ("p-hacking").

It will definitely prevent *some kinds* of exploratory research, yes!

But let's look into some problematic cases:



The main effect that was expected is not found in the overall sample, but it turns out that **some "hand-picked" sub-samples show significant results** (again, results are ex-post rationalized with fitting stories and the paper is sold around those results – "forking")

And you might now think:

"She claims that all exploratory research is bad practise, those are extreme cases, and considered as 'bad practise' anyway!"

And you might now think:

"She claims that all exploratory research is bad practise, those are extreme cases, and considered as 'bad practise' anyway!"

Yes, I fully agree - those were "extreme" cases.

And you might now think:

"She claims that all exploratory research is bad practise, those are extreme cases, and considered as 'bad practise' anyway!"

Yes, I fully agree - those were "extreme" cases.

That is why there is still plenty of room for exploratory research. As long as it is openly declared as "exploratory".

But then, how can I include exploratory research in my studies after a preregistration?

But then, how can I include exploratory research in my studies after a preregistration?

First a few points on "why to do" preregistration...

- It gives a framework to guide the analyses
- All of the analyses that are included should also be included in the final paper
- This prevents, for example, p-hacking and forking
- ...and makes results more trustworthy *to a certain extent*.

But then, how can I include exploratory research in my studies after a preregistration?

First a few points on "why to do" preregistration...

Okay, but **HOW to include exploratory analyses** then?

…and makes results more trustworthy to a certain extent.

How to include exploratory research in a preregistered project:

- In a separate section labelled "exploratory research"
- As an addition to the results obtained, and as an indication for directions for future research to validate those results further

## My conclusion on exploratory research and preregistration:

- It does not prevent exploratory research in general
- It adds to the overall transparency of research and the reliability of the results...
- ... as long as preregistration is viewed as one of many tools and not as a dogmatic "we can absolutely only include preregistered analyses in the final paper" type of "remedy"



## It might delay data collection

Gabriele Paolacci (RSM)







Concern 3: it might delay data collection Concern 3: it might delay data collection it <u>will</u> delay data collection

it will delay data collection





### COSTS

#### **BENEFITS**



### COSTS

### BENEFITS



### truly falsifiable predictions

#### COSTS

### BENEFITS



### truly falsifiable predictions

### more thoughtful research

### COSTS

### BENEFITS



### truly falsifiable predictions

more thoughtful research

higher chances of publication

### COSTS

### BENEFITS



truly falsifiable predictions more thoughtful research higher chances of publication reputational benefits

### COSTS

### BENEFITS



truly falsifiable predictions more thoughtful research higher chances of publication reputational benefits more impact **Questions?**